

JUL 23 2004
OFFICIAL

PATENT
P56635

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

DOO-HWAN CHUN

Serial No.: 10/083,484

Examiner: TRIEU, VAN THANH

Filed: 27 February 2002

Art Unit: 2636

For: MULTICHANNEL IMAGE PROCESSOR AND SECURITY SYSTEM
EMPLOYING THE SAME

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Commissioner for Patents

P.O.Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Examiner Van Thanh TRIEU

Facsimile No.: (703) 703-872-9306

Sir:

This is to certify that on this, the 23rd day of July 2004, this Supplement to Request for Clarification and Restart of Period for Response filed on 22 July 2004, was facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert E. Bushnell

Attorney for the Applicant

Registration No. 27,774

1522 "K" Street, N.W., Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20005-1202

Tel: (202) 408-9040

Fax: (202) 289-7100

Folio: P56635

Date: 7/23/04

I.D.: REB/kf

Best Available Copy

JUL 23 2004

OFFICIAL
PATENT
P56635

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

DOO-HWAN CHUN

Serial No.: 10/083,484

Examiner: TRIEU, VAN THANH

Filed: 27 February 2002

Art Unit: 2636

For: MULTICHANNEL IMAGE PROCESSOR AND SECURITY SYSTEM
EMPLOYING THE SAMESUPPLEMENT TO REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND
RESTART OF PERIOD FOR RESPONSECommissioner for Patents
P.O.Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

As a supplement to the Request for Clarification and Restart of Period for Response filed on 18 June 2004, a copy of which was provided by facsimile to the Examiner on 22 July 2004, Applicant respectfully requests additional clarification relative to the first Office action mailed on 29 April 2004 (Paper No. 20040426), and further requests that the period for response be restarted as of the date of mailing of the clarifying communication.

Folio: P56635
Date: 7/22/04
I.D.: REB/JGS/kf

Best Available Copy

PATENT
P56635**REMARKS**


For the additional reason stated below, Applicant requests clarification relative to the Office action mailed on 29 April 2004 (Paper No. 20040426) in this application.

The Office Action Summary (paragraph 6) indicates that all claims (claims 1 thru 38) are rejected. However, the "DETAILED ACTION" does not state any basis for rejection of dependent claim 25. Clarification as to claim 25 is requested.

In conclusion, it is requested that the Examiner provide clarification relative to the matters discussed in the Request for Clarification and Restart of Period for Response filed on 18 June 2004, as well as the matter discussed above, and it is also requested that the period for responding to the Office action (Paper No. 20040426) be restarted as of the date of mailing of the clarifying communication.

If there are any questions, the Examiner is requested to telephone Applicant's attorney at the below listed local telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,


Robert E. Bushnell,
Attorney for the Applicant
Registration No.: 27,774

1522 "K" Street N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 408-9040

Folio: P56635
Date: 7/22/04
I.D.: REB/JGS